

MINUTES OF MEETING
INDIGO COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Indigo Community Development District was held on Monday, June 4, 2012 at 1:00 p.m. at the Holiday Inn Daytona Beach LPGA Blvd., Boardroom, 137 Automall Circle, Daytona Beach, Florida 32124.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Robert Welsh	Chairman
John McCarthy	Supervisor
Tom Leek	Supervisor

Also present were:

Jim Perry	District Manager
Cheryl Stuart	District Counsel
Kurt von der Osten	Field Operations Manager
Jamie Rountree	Team Rountree
2 Residents	

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Introduction

Mr. Perry called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of Minutes of the April 25, 2012 Meeting

Mr. Perry stated included in your agenda package is a copy of the minutes of the April 25, 2012 meeting. Are there any additions, corrections or deletions?

Mr. McCarthy responded on page three in the seventh line up from the bottom the word "Cargar" should be spelled "Kargar." On page four at the top the word "be" should be between "must" and "a." On page eight at the bottom "no soliciting" should be in quotations.

Mr. Perry stated at the top of the page eight the name of the Nursery is called Maday Nursery.

Ms. Stuart stated on page two under the attorney's report in the last sentence it should say, "I have as a precaution alerted counsel for the trustee bank as to that possibility, so they can begin to give some thought as it relates to setting up a defense fund."

On MOTION by Mr. Welsh seconded by Mr. McCarthy with all in favor the Minutes of the April 25, 2012 Meeting were approved as amended.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Resolution 2012-02, Approving the Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2013 and Setting a Public Hearing Date for Adoption

Mr. Perry stated included in your agenda package is Resolution 2012-02 approving the proposed budget for fiscal year 2013 and setting a public hearing date for adoption. The process for the District is to approve a budget and then 60 days later adopt the budget, which is the basis for the O&M assessments for the District. We will be looking at August 22nd at the date of adoption, which is our regularly scheduled meeting. We do have to certify the tax roll to Volusia County by September 15th. We have basically kept in total dollars, the same budget we had this year, which gives us some lee-way. There is no increase in assessments. Depending upon what happens with the County tax certificate sale, we might make some adjustments to certain line items. They have just started selling tax certificates. They will probably have two or three sales of tax certificates. By the August meeting, we will know where we will stand with this District. Even if we keep this budget where we are, we will have enough funds to operate the District next year.

Mr. Welsh asked if these tax certificates sell, does the money from that go into the reserve?

Mr. Perry responded it would go into the reserve accounts that we have set up.

Mr. Welsh asked so we are going to try and build the reserve up to what it was prior?

Mr. Perry responded yes. We had approximately \$600,000 in reserves three years ago. Right now, we have bled it down to just have enough cash to operate for three months.

Mr. Welsh stated I think our priority should be that we build up our reserve a little bit. Do we have a figure that we should have our reserve at that everyone feels comfortable with?

Mr. Perry responded a back of the hand figure I would give you would probably be about \$700,000. We have not done an asset study, which would go through and look at the remaining useful life of the ponds and the infrastructure. We can do that. The studies are usually between \$5,000 to \$7,500.

Mr. Welsh stated I don't think we need to spend more money for that. I am really worried about the reserve. I think we should try to keep our budget stable at least for this year and then next year go in with a little surplus.

Ms. Stuart stated you can make that decision in August when you see where we are. The second thing is, I want to caution us how we use the word "reserve" because under the GASB54 there are five categories of rules and restrictions on monies. I think what we are talking about is having it into our surplus. As we get to that point, I think you are going to hear us be a little more careful as to what motions we make and how we characterize where that money goes.

Mr. Leek asked how are the tax sales handled?

Mr. Perry responded now they are completely computerized. You can go on the property tax collector's website and you can register and you can bid on them. What they are indicating on their website right now is that the sale will go through June 16th. What they used to do was they started May 31st or June 1st and they would go for five days. Then they would process all of those for that five days. Then they would do another one maybe at the end of June and another one in July for the ones that didn't sell.

Ms. Stuart stated every County does it differently.

Mr. Welsh asked could we waive the July CDD meeting?

Mr. Perry responded yes. At the end of the meeting we can talk about that. The general fund almost mirrors what you had last year. There were a few little changes in maintenance expenses for I-95 and that also changes the funding agreement. For the operating and maintenance section for the community wide expenditures, there have been a few minor changes in regards to landscape and street lighting. We are basically keeping the same level of service and expenditures consistent with the prior year. After that, is the allocation of operating reserves. Next are short line narratives on the line items in the budget. Then we have the debt service funds for the 1999A bonds. The 1999A bonds are fine for the District. We made our payments for May. Next is the 1999C bonds and the Series 2005 bonds. Those bonds are in a default position. The last page is the analysis of the assessment allocations for the platted lands and raw lands. The approved budget will go on the website and it will also be transmitted to the City of Daytona Beach and Volusia County.

Mr. von der Osten asked would there be a problem putting a link on the master HOA website to the CDD website?

Mr. Perry responded no.

Ms. Stuart stated the assessments are not changing but you will recall that last year we made some decisions about what we were going to certify on the roll versus what we were going to direct collect and that is part of what we are waiting to see happen or not. When we come to you for the final budget with a tax roll, we will be indicating, which we will be proposing to directly collect and which we propose to put on the roll again.

Mr. Leek asked what are the basic criteria you use to distinguish between the two?

Ms. Stuart responded although we direct bill all of the undeveloped/unplatted properties, because some properties had been reconfigured and some tax certificates on some of those had sold, we decided to put some O&M on that roll and hopefully improve our cash flow position. There is still debt that is outstanding. If the tax certificates sold and we get some cash that might indicate it is a good idea again.

Mr. Welsh asked let's say that builder A buys 10 lots and on those lots are O&M liens, does he pay on each lot immediately?

Mr. Perry responded all of the platted lots are billed through the tax roll, so any lots that are platted out here that are not raw land are either paying in coordination with their assessments or they are not paying it and it will sell on the certificate sale. It will be very rare that any platted lot out here, if they haven't made their payments and it goes into the tax certificate sale, probably 99.9% of those will sell and we will get our dollars back.

Ms. Stuart stated Volusia is actually good but sometimes there is a gap in time between when the plat gets recorded and when it gets a property identification number, so sometimes there may be a gap. Volusia is really good though.

<p>On MOTION by Mr. Leek seconded by Mr. Welsh with all in favor Resolution 2012-02 Approving the Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2013 & Setting a Public Hearing for August 22, 2012 at 1:00 p.m. at the Holiday Inn Daytona Beach LPGA Boulevard, Boardroom, 137 Automall Circle, Daytona Beach, Florida 32124 was approved.</p>
--

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Review and Ranking of Proposals Received in Response to the RFQ for Engineering Services

Mr. Perry stated we sent you the two proposals, along with the ranking sheet.

Mr. Welsh asked is the engineer paid a salary?

Mr. Perry responded no. Typically, they are paid on an hourly basis without a retainer.

Mr. Welsh asked how do we know what that retainer is?

Mr. Perry responded part of the process is you will rank these two firms. I am assuming you will chose one of them and then we will contact them and review what their rates are.

Ms. Stuart stated we are subject to the Consultants Competitive Negotiation Act. The law requires that you get proposals for qualifications without price. You evaluate them based on the criteria and then you come up with a ranking. Then you chose your number one ranked proposer and then you direct staff to go and try to come to terms on pricing with the number one ranked firm.

Mr. Welsh asked who calls them?

Ms. Stuart responded it is usually your District Manager. Then he looks at it and he will ask the Chair or designate a board member to be in the loop to say it looks okay or it doesn't look okay. If you cannot come to economic terms with your number one then you stop and then you go to your number two ranked proposer. You cannot ping pong negotiate and play them off of each other.

Mr. Welsh asked is there a minimum in which every engineering firm must beat?

Ms. Stuart responded no.

Mr. Welsh asked so the amounts could range from \$600 for an hour to \$100 an hour?

Ms. Stuart responded yes. Normally what we see is a fee schedule that has different levels of fees for different kinds of professionals. Ultimately, this does come back to the board.

Mr. Welsh asked but this consulting fee is per hour?

Ms. Stuart responded that is what we normally would do, given a District of this size and of this activity.

Mr. Welsh asked do we have an average of what most engineering fees would be?

Mr. Perry responded yes. One of the reasons that CH2M Hill doesn't want to be with the District any longer is because they are a large firm. They have high rates and this is just not enough business for them to justify. These firms are probably going to be very similar to their rates. With some engineering firms, we could get a cost not to exceed. Singhofen & Associates are out of Central Florida, Winter Park. They have done a number of Districts in Flagler County. Their current workload involves a project up in Ormond Beach. They have done some work in Volusia County. They are knowledgeable about CDDs. The Finley Engineering Group out of

Volusia County. They have one fulltime engineer and one part-time engineer. When you look at their proposal they have other information from subcontractors because these groups do work for a lot of other engineering firms. They have also done some work in Flagler County and some in Volusia County.

Mr. Welsh stated they also did a golf course community.

Mr. Perry stated there were references in here and I had called two people in regards to both and they haven't gotten back to me. Both firms used some of the same references.

Ms. Stuart stated the board's task is to look at the criteria and the ranking criteria and to identify, based on the proposals, their scoring and ranking of the two firms.

Mr. Perry stated you have two firms that proposed. You don't have any others to choose from today. The one firm has a number of personnel in it and provides a lot of diverse engineering services. The other firm is a very small firm. Typically you alleviate risks if you go with a firm that has some mass to it. If there is an engineer with this firm that has been assigned to this project and for some reason he leaves that firm, someone else can typically step into their shoes. You do have some risk with this firm because there are only two people.

Mr. Leek stated from a business perspective, I agree 100%. What I don't have is the technical expertise. If we are making a business decision assuming that both groups can do the job then I would definitely vote for the firm that has less risks.

Mr. Perry stated both firms could do the work. I have not worked with Singhofen & Associates. I haven't heard of anything negative about them. I did work with Finley some years ago and they could provide the services that were requested.

Mr. Welsh stated Finley did the golf course community. I thought when I was reviewing this that they look like they have good experience working with that type of environment.

Mr. Perry stated not necessarily. If you look at Singhofen, they recently did the town center in Palm Coast with an extensive state of the art facilities for stormwater.

Ms. Stuart stated they are heavy into stormwater.

Mr. Welsh asked have you worked with either of these firms?

Ms. Stuart responded I have not worked with either entity. I know some of the Districts involved though. It sounds like what you are saying is that you would rank Singhofen higher on the staffing and personnel. For prior District experience, I know Singhofen is doing current work for a number of Districts, so I think that would be close. It sounds like there is a separation

between the two in staffing and workload allocation and ability to meet is somewhat related. Location would go to Finley in terms of their geographic location. Singhofen does work in the area. Then credibility with community and permitting agencies, I don't know of any problems anyone has had.

Mr. McCarthy stated so basically what it boils down to is the staffing and ability of personnel.

Mr. Leek stated so Singhofen has a slight edge.

Ms. Stuart stated you are not saying the other guys aren't capable. We are not disqualifying anyone.

Mr. Welsh asked does anyone have an objection to ranking Singhofen first?

Mr. Leek responded I would agree with that.

Mr. McCarthy stated I agree.

On MOTION by Mr. Leek seconded by Mr. Welsh with all in favor to Rank Singhofen & Associates first & Finley Engineering second was approved.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Actions of Trustee

Ms. Stuart stated this is just for information purposes. The notice of event of default is required to be done by the trustee under the bond documents, so this is a standard response indicating that the May 1, 2012 payment was not made. One consequence of default has to do with withdrawals from the construction account, which will require trustee approval.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Other Business

There being none, the next item followed.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. Attorney – Notice of Claim of Invalid Special Assessments and Invalid Allocation of Special Assessments

Ms. Stuart stated included in your agenda package is the letter that we received as a copy. It was addressed to the trustee indicating there may be a group of landowners filing suit on the 2005A bonds and assessments. Nothing has been filed. We have not been served. No District official has been served. This is just informational at this stage of the game.

Mr. Leek asked do we have anything that they are specifically claiming?

Ms. Stuart responded we haven't gotten any other correspondence on it.

Mr. Leek asked and this is the same group that has been here complaining for more than a year?

Ms. Stuart responded yes. They may or may not do anything in response to this.

B. Engineer

There being none, the next item followed.

C. Manager

Mr. Perry stated we have been getting quite a few requests for estoppel letters from KB Homes. There has been a lot of activity in the south assessment area.

Mr. von der Osten stated they are just getting ready to close about eight to 10 units. As of Thursday of last week they claim they have 40 hard contracts. At the end of last month KB purchased an additional group of lots. KB Homes is by far the majority lot holder in that area now. They purchased Lennar lots.

Mr. Welsh asked who bought Centennial II?

Mr. von der Osten responded Kargar Construction. Navarro purchased some odd lots from the former Woodside Home Group but only about four lots.

Mr. Leek asked that is about all they have that is not developed, right?

Mr. von der Osten responded in the Grey Hawk area. Whoever bought Woodside Group still owns the lots on Eagle Marsh South. They are debating what to do with those. They have been talking about replatting them and doing 50 foot lots in there now. They are 40 foot lots now.

Mr. Perry stated there are a lot of developments with 50 foot lots. They are pretty much the standard.

Mr. Welsh stated but if you go back to the original development of this property that was never in there. You do know one of the things Consolidated is doing is trying to renegotiate their lease with the City because it was on an escalating basis. Overall that hurts everyone that is going out here trying to sell their properties.

D. Field Operations Manager

Mr. von der Osten stated I have a proposal that is a signature item for the lake maintenance company, Aquatic Systems. They would like to stock some of the south ponds with the carp for grass and weed control. It just needs a signature from the District. The barriers have been installed. They took care of that at no charge. I have a proposal for street lights. This is to rewire all the pole mounted lights that we talked about. This is for 14 pole mounted lights at all the intersections and entries. It is for \$14,500. The other option would be to disconnect half of the up-lights on the trees. The original request was to unscrew the bulbs. The issue with that is there are ballasts, so even if you unscrew the bulb you are still drawing at least half the current into the ballasts. If you really want the benefit from that you need to disconnect the wires.

Mr. Welsh stated one of the problems I see is we have to do something about these sprinkler systems. They are really in bad shape. We are spending a lot of money repairing them. Do we have a bill this month?

Mr. von der Osten responded you see what is in the current check register. This month is comparable. It is summer time and it is several thousand dollars in repairs.

Mr. Welsh stated and it seems to be escalating as we get into the summer months. Isn't there something we could do to bring down the costs there?

Mr. von der Osten responded I think what is going wrong is the age of the valve banks. They are going to have to be replaced and that would be more of a capital expense to replace the valve banks.

Mr. Rountree stated it is just like everything else. If the revenue is not there then we have to try and make work what we already have. What you have is a system on the north end that is 15 or 16 years old. You are starting to have your major components go out.

Mr. Welsh asked do we have any money in capital?

Mr. Perry responded you have very limited dollars.

Mr. Leek stated I think we should just leave the lighting the way it is for now and we can address it more when we are more financially able. It looks to me like we would be spending a lot of money upfront to save a little money in some years later. I think we need to make sure we have well lit streets at the times that they need to be well lit.

Mr. Perry stated in regards to irrigation repairs between the interchange and the community wide, we have about \$37,000 budgeted and our actual numbers to date are about \$10,000. We are below budget on the repairs.

Ms. Stuart stated and you are coming into summer, where there will be more repairs.

Mr. Leek stated I wouldn't be in favor of a large capital expenditure at this point. We do need to keep plugging away and making sure we are replacing what we can.

Mr. von der Osten stated Team Rountree has been excellent in helping control the cost.

Mr. Welsh stated I agree.

Mr. von der Osten asked just for clarification on the light timers, we want them on dusk to dawn?

Mr. Leek responded yes.

Mr. von der Osten stated I have two numbers here for the signage at the Champions entrance off of LPGA Boulevard. I guess someone decided to take one of the LPGA International logos for memorabilia. They took the whole thing. They had to take it in pieces. The company that typically does the monument work out here is Graphic Sign Design. It would be \$1,750 to replace it. Signs Plus would be \$2,025.

On MOTION by Mr. Welsh seconded by Mr. Leek with all in favor the Proposal from Graphic Sign for Sign Replacement totaling \$1,750 was approved.
--

Mr. von der Osten stated I spoke to some people at the City regarding reflectors at the medians. Currently, the City personnel responsible for traffic maintenance and coordination is looking at the community and deciding if there is something we can do. Typically, he uses a flexible delineator to be installed.

Mr. Leek asked what is a flexible delineator?

Mr. von der Osten responded I think one of those things that flap and have a reflector on them. He said he would work with us on different options.

Mr. Leek stated the curbs up by the clubhouse are actually painted yellow.

Mr. von der Osten stated that becomes a bit of an eyesore or maintenance item but maybe that is what you want to do.

Mr. Leek stated it is much less of an eyesore than one of the things sticking up.

Mr. von der Osten stated I said because of liability reasons we would prefer the City be involved with this and he said he wasn't concerned about the liability issue.

Mr. Perry stated we had discussed about having the conservation easement plan done toward the end of this year. I would like to ask the board if we can move forward with that. We did budget \$25,000 this year. Then we can start with the maintenance after this fiscal year. I think we are financially able to do that and get that started.

Ms. Stuart asked is that going to be done internally?

Mr. Perry responded no.

Mr. von der Osten stated there is a forestry management company that will put it together.

On MOTION by Mr. Leek seconded by Mr. Welsh with all in favor the preparation of the Gopher Tortoise Conservation Plan was authorized.

Mr. McCarthy stated I have a possibility of about 25 gopher tortoises that need to be relocated. If they pay to relocate these tortoises that money could go towards doing the stuff that we have to do anyways.

Mr. von der Osten asked would it increase the annual obligation for enhancement?

Ms. Stuart responded they need a permit. It has to be in a place that they can be relocated to. Do they have a permit that says they can put them in our conservation area?

Mr. McCarthy responded not yet. I belong to a church that wants to build a food store. They can't build it until they move their gopher tortoises. What would be required to allow that to happen?

Ms. Stuart responded your contractor needs to get a permit and that usually tells you how many and where they can go.

Mr. von der Osten asked if you are increasing your gopher tortoise population, is that going to require additional enhancements of our property because we now have more gopher tortoises?

Ms. Stuart responded one of our lawyers can tell you whether or not that is likely to be okay from the agency point of view.

Mr. McCarthy asked can you find out that information?

Ms. Stuart responded yes. Do you know what piece of property he is talking about?

Mr. McCarthy responded it extends from LPGA Boulevard down to ISB.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Supervisor's Request and Audience Comments**

Mr. George Rausher asked our neighborhood is changing. We now have children in LPGA, where we didn't used to have a lot. They want to fish and I really hate telling them they can't fish but we do have signs up. Other communities have tackled this by saying you are allowed catch and release. Is your group the proper group to talk to or do I need to talk to the City?

Mr. von der Osten responded in Rennar Golf Communities those lakes are HOA common areas. Here we control certain lakes on the right-of-ways. It just depends on which lakes we are talking about out here.

Mr. George Rausher stated maybe you could say this lake and this lake would be the only two, where fishing is allowed. I think it would be great for the community.

Mr. Welsh stated but you are also opening it up to people that will pull up with their pickup trucks to fish. We have transients in and out of the community, which is why we put those signs up, so we could limit that. We have had some break-ins in LPGA lately.

Mr. George Rausher asked so it is this group that would make that decision?

Mr. Welsh responded probably Rennar. I don't know what lakes we are talking about.

Mr. George Rausher stated I don't either. You guys would have to look at the lakes and see which lakes would be good ones.

Ms. Stuart stated we need to figure out what lakes you are talking about and then figure out whose lake it is because we own some lakes, Rennar still owns some lakes and the City owns some lakes. Law enforcement agencies make you post "No Fishing" signs if you want them to deal with transients or other issues. It will depend on what lake it is as to what permit it is covered by.

Mr. George Rausher stated I guess we could look around and pick a few lakes out. Who is enforcing the fishing? I don't really have any authority to speak to these kids.

Ms. Stuart responded that is why you call the sheriff.

Mr. George Rausher stated the last two kids I told that they couldn't fish, their grandmother is a sheriff and she said they could fish there.

Ms. Stuart stated call your City Commissioner.

Mr. George Rausher asked is there a known savings turning half the lights off or disconnecting half of them versus the cost to do that?

Mr. von der Osten responded the electrical savings has not been calculated.

Mr. Leek stated we decided to table it.

Mr. von der Osten stated you can find the meters and start subtracting the wattage used but then there is a little unknown with how much electricity the ballasts are going to continue to draw.

Mr. George Rausher asked if you totally disconnect the lights, including the ballasts, would your bill drop by 50%?

Ms. Stuart responded probably not precisely 50% because it is a consumption piece.

Mr. von der Osten stated there are so many meters out there and there are still some lights that stay on fulltime, like the entrances and the fountains, which are also on the same meters.

Mr. Leek stated the lighting project has had years of discussion. What I think we would like to do in the end is go to a low voltage type light that would save us a lot of money. The problem is it is something we can't do right now.

Mr. Jim Crotty asked are the "No Soliciting" signs going to be put up by the police now?

Mr. Welsh responded no. They are going to be put up by Ron Burn.

Mr. McCarthy stated as far as I know the neighborhood watch program is not going to be putting any signs up. There is a standing City ordinance for no solicitation.

Mr. Jim Crotty stated but the people say there are no signs. They are constantly coming to my door saying we are selling this and that. Over on Champions they broke in and took \$75,000 worth of stuff out of the man's house. They said they were sprinkler people.

Mr. McCarthy stated then call the police.

Mr. Jim Crotty stated but if there is no sign then they will give you an argument.

Mr. Leek stated just call the police. You don't have to have a discussion with them.

Mr. Jim Crotty stated across the street they have a big sign that says "No Soliciting" by KB Homes. If we could get something like that on the corner that would be great.

Mr. von der Osten stated I know there was a lot of discussion about "No Soliciting" signs over the last few meetings with the CDD and the HOA. I think it was left that you could post the entrance to the community and then possibly the City may install the sign.

Ms. Stuart stated it is not a CDD issue.

Mr. Leek stated I support a "No Soliciting" sign but I just don't think we are responsible or permitted to do that. I suggest you bring this up at your next neighborhood watch meeting and make them aware that we support "No Soliciting" signs.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**Approval of Check Register**

Mr. Perry stated included in your agenda package is the check register. It totals \$81,961.48.

On MOTION by Mr. McCarthy seconded by Mr. Leek with all in favor the Check Register was approved.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**Financial Statements as of March 31, 2012**

Mr. Perry stated included in your agenda package are the financial statements as of March 31, 2012. We did make our May 1st payment on our 1999A bonds. There was not sufficient funds in the 1999C bonds to make the payment. They will have to tap the reserve account on that. The capital funds that are still available total approximately \$7.1M. The balance due on the tax roll is about \$712,000 and we will see where that comes out this year.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**Next Scheduled Meeting – 6/27/12 at 1:00 p.m.
at Holiday Inn**

Mr. Perry stated the next scheduled regularly scheduled meeting is June 27th. We also have a meeting scheduled for July 25th. We definitely need to have our August meeting. We can keep those meetings scheduled but we may cancel the June and July meetings. I will get to the Chairman prior to the meetings by phone or email and we will determine if the meetings need to be held and then we can inform the board of the decision.

Mr. Leek asked have they specifically contacted you as our representative in regards to the litigation?

Ms. Stuart responded not since last year.

Mr. Perry stated before KB Homes purchased those, we spent probably six hours in various meetings at my office with them going over the methodologies. Subsequent to that, I

think we met with them once or twice. I know Jonathan had discussed some of their thoughts with their counsel.

Ms. Stuart stated I had a conversation with them too when he was gone. I don't know that there has been anything new.

Mr. Leek stated their argument that they made in these meetings was very nonspecific.

Ms. Stuart stated their general theory is it is unfair because we don't think we are getting sufficient benefit to our properties and we are paying the same assessments and other properties get more benefit and we don't.

Mr. Rountree asked are we going to have budget workshops this year?

Mr. Perry responded there is no change in assessment levels and no change in the budget from last year.

Mr. Rountree stated the reason I asked is because it draws into the line of questioning that you asked me earlier about with the irrigation. We should be able to come in under budget this year, unless we have a drought.

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

On MOTION by Mr. Leek seconded by Mr. Welsh with all in favor the Meeting was adjourned.


Secretary / Assistant Secretary


Chairman / Vice Chairman